## **SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



PJ Since (FY):

1992

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Ontario State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$13,659,875 PJ's Size Grouping\*: C

Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):\* ΡJ С State Average State Rank Nat'l Average Group Overall Category PJs in State: 92 **Program Progress:** % of Funds Committed 88.19 % 96.14 % 83 96.45 % 6 11 % of Funds Disbursed 76.62 % 88.33 % 83 87.93 % 100 Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities 5.68 5.75 1 4.73 100 % of Completed Rental Disbursements to 76.12 % 77.35 % 83.38 % 54 17 18 All Rental Commitments\*\*\* 31.18 % 70.15 % % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to 70.06 % 76 10 All CHDO Reservations\*\*\* Low-Income Benefit: % of 0-50% AMI Renters 92.16 % 78.42 % 23 80.67 % 71 76 to All Renters % of 0-30% AMI Renters 56.86 % 39.86 % 45.30 % 21 65 71 to All Renters\*\*\* Lease-Up: % of Occupied Rental Units to All 100.00 % 92.91 % 1 95.32 % 100 100 Completed Rental Units\*\*\* 30 50 35 In State: Nationally: Overall Ranking: **HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:** Rental Unit \$29,246 \$34,639 \$26,635 204 Units 64.80 % Homebuyer Unit \$70,463 \$20,710 \$14,938 11 Units 3.50 % 31.70 % Homeowner-Rehab Unit \$25,700 \$27,349 \$20,675 100 Units **TBRA Unit** \$0 \$2,736 \$3,216 0 Units 0.00 %

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>\* -</sup> A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

<sup>\*\* -</sup> E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

<sup>\*\*\*-</sup> This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Participating Jurisdiction (P	J): Ontario				CA						
Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)	PJ: State:* National:**	F	\$137,395 \$134,233 \$95,185	\$126,895 \$113,853 \$74,993		\$25,700 \$28,349 \$23,434	CHDO Operating (% of allo	ocation)	PJ: Nationa	al Avg:	0.0 % 1.1 %
RACE: White:		%	%	Homeowner %	TBRA %		OLD TYPE: on-Elderly:	%	%	Homeowner %	TBRA %
		28.4	0.0	51.0 7.0	0.0	_	on-Elderly.	3.4	18.2	12.0	0.0
Black/African American:		11.8	9.1		0.0	Elderly:	Cinale Derent	77.9	0.0	38.0	0.0
Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native		18.6	0.0	1.0	0.0		Single Parent: Two Parent:	2.9	0.0	13.0	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Island		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	Two Faterit.	12.7	81.8	27.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other.		2.9	0.0	10.0	0.0
Asian and White:	e and write.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Black/African American and W	/hite·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
American Indian/Alaska Native		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Other Multi Racial:	o ana blaok.	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0						
Asian/Pacific Islander:		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ETHNICITY: Hispanic	[	40.2	90.9	40.0	0.0						
·		40.2	90.9	40.0	0.0						
HOUSEHOLD SIZE: SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:											
1 Person:		76.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	Section 8		0.0	0.0		
2 Persons:	[	9.8	0.0	25.0	0.0	HOME TI	BRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:		7.8	0.0	12.0	0.0	Other:		67.2			
4 Persons:		4.9	18.2	10.0	0.0	No Assis	tance:	32.8			
5 Persons:		1.0	36.4	14.0	0.0						
6 Persons:		0.5	27.3	5.0	0.0						
7 Persons:		0.0	9.1	1.0	0.0						
8 or more Persons:		0.0	9.1	2.0	0.0	# of Section	on 504 Compliant l	Jnits / Comp	oleted Un	its Since 200	1 44
* The State average includes all  ** The National average includes				•							
The National average includes	an iocai and Sta	alo i uo, ai	iu ilibulai Alea	3							

**Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units** 

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

# Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



## — HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Ontario State: CA Group Rank: 35 (Percentile)

State Rank: 50 92 PJs Overall Rank:

Summary: 3 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 76.20%	76.12	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.90%	31.18	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF	< 70%**	92.16	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.88%	100	
"ALLOCATION-Y	'EARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 2.550	3.29	

<sup>\*</sup> This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



<sup>\*\*</sup> This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.